

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/884,007	06/18/2001	Manoel Tenorio	020431.0849	3397
7590 05/19/2005			EXAMINER	
Christopher W	. Kennerly	RUDY, ANDREW J		
Baker Botts L.L	Р.		T. T	DAREN WEARER
2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dallas, TX 75201			3627	

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/884,007	TENORIO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Andrew Joseph Rud	y 3627			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply		*. I	dress		
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, oly within the statutory minimur I will apply and will expire SIX te, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered timel (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this or come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 a	<u> 16 February 2005</u> .	•			
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application	า.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-33,36 and 37</u> is/s		onsideration.			
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11,34 and 35 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requireme	nt.			
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		ed to by the Examiner.			
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			R 1.121(d).		
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•		` '		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been receive	d.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have	been received in this National	Stage		
	application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	).	Ū		
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copie	es not received.			
\44aa-b	Was					
Attachmen		<b>∧</b> □	niou Cummor: /DTO 440)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date			
3) 🔯 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	5) 🔲 Not	ice of Informal Patent Application (PTC	)-152)		
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) LJ Oth	er:			
6. Patent and To FOL-326 (R	rademark Office ev. 1-04) Office A	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Da	ate 20050516		

Application/Control Number: 09/884,007 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-37 are pending. Claims 12-33, 36 and 37 are still withdrawn as drawn to a non-elected invention. Applicant's February 7, 2005 Amendment and REMARKS have been reviewed.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, lines 3-6, are not clear with regards to the "seller database being one of a plurality of seller databases" and "all seller databases in the plurality of seller databases" as the phrases improperly intermix a singular database in juxtaposition with a plurality of databases. As is, it is not clear if a singular seller database is being claimed or a plurality of databases is being referenced.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/884,007

Art Unit: 3627

Page 3

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-11, 34 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker et al., US 6,338,067.

Baker discloses, e.g Figs. 3-6, an electronic commerce system operable to analyze product data, e.g. 260, an organized product hierarchy comprising rules, e.g. 206, for a plurality of seller databases, e.g. 268. Baker does not specifically disclose the terminology potential errors. However, when analyzing the hierarchal data disclosed by Baker and a discrepancy is noticed, the system takes note of it and corrects such. Thus, to have associated the rules of Baker to indicate that a potential error exists for two products exists would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art. The motivation for having done such would have been to associate the rules with correction factors that are immediately addressed in order to provide a more reliable product. With regards to the global directory, the various databases disclosed by Baker may be viewed as global. Applicant's February 7, 2005 REMARKS have been reviewed, but are moot in light of the new grounds of rejection.

6. A further pertinent reference of interest is noted on the attached PTO-892.

7. Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement received February 16, 2005 has been reviewed. Note attached PTO-1449.

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert P. Olszewski can be reached on 571-272-6788. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Joseph Ridy